

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. Dox 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/791,901	03/04/2004	Kenichiro Ono	03500.013610.1	4468	
5514	7590 11/03/2005		EXAMINER		
FITZPATRI	CK CELLA HARPER	MYERS,	MYERS, PAUL R		
30 ROCKEFE NEW YORK,	ELLER PLAZA NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1.2.v Torax,	,		2112		
			DATE MAH ED. 11/02/200	DATE MAIL ED: 11/02/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/791,901	ONO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Paul R. Myers	2112			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. C (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 Au</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 47-55 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed 6) Claim(s) 47-55 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers	·	•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) \square objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/344,509. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
		·			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/7/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				

Application/Control Number: 10/791,901

Art Unit: 2112

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 47 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eckersley PN 5,191,520 in view of Kubo et al PN 5,959,368.

In regards to claims 47, 51, 53: Eckersley teaches an apparatus comprising: means for receiving data provided from the power supplying apparatus (via 36); means for executing processing of said data received by said means for receiving data (in 30); means for receiving a power supplied from the power supplying apparatus (from 18 and 20); and means for controlling a power supplied from the power supplying apparatus in case that a minimum power necessary for the processing of said data received by said data receiving means receiving a power exceeds the power supplied from the power supplying apparatus (Abstract). Eckersley does not teach the apparatus being a battery driven information processing apparatus or adding power from a battery in the case that the minimum power necessary for the processing of said data received by said data receiving means receiving a power exceeds the power supplied from the power supplying apparatus. Kubo et al teaches a power supply for supplying power from a plurality of batteries to a plurality of loads within a personal computing apparatus (1) by adding batteries (10-1 to 10-N) to meet the required maximum power (Figure 5). It would have been obvious to

a person of ordinary skill in the art to provide additional power from batteries because this would have allowed for handling greater loads a single power source can handle.

In regards to claim 48-49, 52, 54-55: Both Eckersley and Kubo et al teach means for monitoring the total current. Kubo et al teaches recharging the batteries (Column 1 lines 16-22).

In regards to claim 50: Neither Eckersley not Kubo et al teach the USB standard.

Official notice is taken that the USB standard is a well known standard. It would have been obvious to a parson of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to be compliant with the USB standard because this is a well known standard.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul R. Myers whose telephone number is 571 272 3639. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rehana Perveen can be reached on 571-272-3676. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,901

Art Unit: 2112

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PAUL R. MYERS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4

PRM October 31, 2005